

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/522,158	Applicant(s) HEFFELS ET AL.	
	Examiner BRYAN GIGLIO	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:

 (1) BRYAN GIGLIO.

 (2) Felix D'Ambrosio (Reg. # 25721).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 May 2008
Time: 12:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested an amendment to claim 20 in order to circumvent a potential rejection under 35 U.S.C 112 2nd paragraph. Applicant's representative agreed with the suggestion, to be made by Examiner's amendment in order to place the Application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bryan Giglio/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

